4D Monte Carlo ...and some comments on robust optimisation

Markus Alber

Department of Oncology and Department of Experimental Clinical Oncology Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark





Nobody needs Monte Carlo for protons because it is more accurate







Reminder: Monte Carlo makes hard maths easier

For example: simulation of dynamic processes



Start a particle history

History branches into *n* discrete states....

....according to a random number t

...perform simulations...

...and merge results



Reminder: Monte Carlo makes hard maths easier

How many histories are necessary to achieve the same statistical uncertainty?





For example, random setup errors



Isocentre shift is sampled according to a Gaussian for each history = expected dose of a treatment with infinitely many fractions

courtesy M. Soukup



The conventional (naive ?) way of 4D MC



Tissue-Eye-View: expected dose-to-moving-tissue

proton spot dose... in different geometries



TISSUE EYE VIEW





accumulation in reference geometry using breathing PDF (rel. time spend in the breathing phases)





CIRRO

courtesy M. Soukup, HYPERION M. Söhn

Energy transfer 4D MC: deposition warping



The intrepid way of 4D MC



Things to consider about spatial resolution

- Monte Carlo computes the mean energy deposition in a scoring volume (voxel) – averaging effects on gradients.
- The tracking grid and the scoring grid do not have to match scoring could be performed on a finer grid.
- MC speed is mostly achieved by reducing the tracking resolution and by increasing the cutoff energy of electrons – i.e. by a loss of spatial resolution. Therefore, resolution costs much.
- The dose computation doesn't have to be more precise in space than the deformable image registration.





More things to consider: implicit averaging

The MC speed advantage remains for validation computations, e.g. for the interplay effect, if the scanning pattern AND the synchronous breathing motion are known.



Grassberger IJROBP 86, 2013

However, every new scenario is a full MC dose computation: *implicit averaging. Robustness analysis* often calls for *explicit averaging.*





Optimisation with 4D MC: compensation of random errors

Robust Planning against rigid random setup errors with zero systematic error: blurred spot doses as input to IMPT optimizer, optimize expected dose (given the presumed uncertainties)





courtesy M. Soukup

RRO

HYPERION

Optimisation with 4D MC: compensation of periodic movements in Tissue-Eye-View



Applied dose of static ITV planning







Optimisation with 4D MC: compensation of periodic movements in Tissue-Eye-View



Applied dose of static ITV planning



Applied dose of 4D-TEV planning

Of course, TEV depends crucially on the correctness of the input motion prediction. There seems to be a need for gating&adaptation





courtesy M. Soukup, HYPERION M. Söhn



PTV vs TEV: DVHs of ipsilateral lung



Optimisation with 4D MC: compensation of periodic movements in Tissue-Eye-View



For comparison: 4D-TEV photon SBRT

courtesy M. Soukup,

IRRO

Applied dose of 4D-TEV planning

HYPERION

M. Söhn

of static ITV

planning







Recall: Robust optimisation via the worst case approximation



For each geometric instance, take the lowest (highest) dose at each point.





Compare: Robust optimisation via the expected dose approximation



For each geometric instance, take the mean dose at each point.





Variants of Robust Optimisation – a photon`s guy point of view

- Obviously, worst-case and mean dose robust optimisation are related, but the former is much more aggressive. Is this really desired?
- For photon therapy, there have been at least 9 suggestions for robust optimisation. *Clueless?*
- Robust optimisation approaches differ in

 + the type of uncertainty addressed
 + the effect of uncertainties on the dose distribution
 + the (statistical) concept of quantifying robustness





Worst case approximation vs.

mean dose approximation



...requires n distinct dose calculations, which limits the dimensionality and sampling density of the uncertainty space

MD via 4D-MC



...requires one distinct dose calculation, with arbitrary dimensionality and sampling density of the uncertainty space





The compensation of errors by robust optimisation

effectiveness

cost in the as-planned population

accept the unforeseen

compensate for the unforeseen randoms

compensate for the unforeseen systematics

prevent the unforeseen

MD

WC





Monte Carlo is ideal in a research setting because it invites extensions (like 4D) very generously.

Advantages for clinical grade use are not so clear – depend on the circumstances. Stellar use case: verification of applied dose including interplay etc.

(Robust) treatment planning: MC offers unexplored exciting possibilities.



